

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,850	07/30/2002	Debasis Bhattacharyya	3095-004	7108
22429	7590 05/13/2005		EXAMINER	
	PTMAN GILMAN AN	GRIFPIN, WALTER DEAN		
1700 DIAGO1 SUITE 300 /3			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	A, VA 22314		1764	

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	- VO		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/937,850	BHATTACHARYYA ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Walter D. Griffin	1764		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TON. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' y statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	30 July 2002.			
2a)□		This action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>21-41</u> is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) <u>38 and 40</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>21-37,39 and 41</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers		•		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2002 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of the oath or declaration is objected to by the specific terms of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example Theorem 1 is objected to by the Example Theorem 2 is objected to be a supplication of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example Theorem 2 is objected to be a supplication of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example Theorem 2 is objected to be a supplication of the oath or declaration is objected to by the oath or declaration is objected to by the oath or declaration is objected to by the oath or declaration is objected to be a supplication of the oath or declaration is objected to by the oath or declaration is objected to be a supplication of the oath or declaration is objected to be a supplication of the oath or declaration is objected to be a supplication of the oath or declaration of the oath or declaration of the oath or declaration of the oath of the	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) [] a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Esee the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage		
Attachmen		_			
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ or No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/28/01,3/4/02</u> .	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date iformal Patent Application (PTO-152) ·		

Application/Control Number: 09/937,850

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 24 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 24, line 2, the word "is" should be "in". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 21-37, 39, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 21-37 and 41 are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, because the expression "mixed catalyst" in step i) of claim 21 is not clear. It is unclear what constitutes a mixed catalyst.

Claim 27 is also indefinite because the expressions "the regenerated catalyst with coke content of less than 0.4 wt%", "the first stripper", "the second stripper", and "the common stripper" lack proper antecedent basis in claim 21.

Claim 28 is also indefinite because the expressions "strippers" and "the common regenerator" lack proper antecedent basis in claim 21.

Claim 31 is also indefinite because the expression "the unconverted heavy hydrocarbon fraction" lacks proper antecedent basis in claim 21.

Application/Control Number: 09/937,850

Art Unit: 1764

Claim 33 is also indefinite because the expression "the stripper" lacks proper antecedent basis in claim 21.

Claim 34 is also indefinite because the expression "the regenerated catalyst fed to the bottom of the second riser reactor" lacks proper antecedent basis in claim 21. There is no indication in claim 21 that the catalyst is fed to the bottom of the riser.

Claim 36 is also indefinite because the expression "the TCO" lacks proper antecedent basis in claim 21.

Claim 37 is also indefinite because the expression "the Total Cycle Oil" lacks proper antecedent basis in claim 21.

Claim 39 is indefinite because the expression "the separating device" lacks proper antecedent basis in claim 38.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 38 and 40 are allowed.

Claim 21 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 22-37, 39, and 41 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record does not disclose or suggest a process which includes the cracking of the fraction from the first reactor in a second reactor and also includes the recycling of the fraction

Application/Control Number: 09/937,850

Art Unit: 1764

from the second reactor at a vertically displaced position lower than the position of introduction of the main feed. Also, the prior art of record does not disclose or suggest an apparatus that includes means for performing these steps.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art not relied upon discloses cracking processes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter D. Griffin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1447. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30 to 4:00 with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

WG May 11, 2005